





## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Travemerk Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/905,701	08/04/97	ISOM		F		
-				EXAMINER		
RHODES COATS & BENNETT		TM02/0730		***** from the from		
1400 CRESCENT GREEN				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 300 CARY NC 275	11			2151 DATE MAILED:	2	
					07/30/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

SP

1- File Com

## Interview Summary

Application No.

O8/905,701

George L. Opie

Applicant(s)

Fred Steven Isom

Art Unit

2151

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pers	sonnel):
(1) David Bennett (Applicant's Representative)	(3) George L. Opie (PTO)
(2) Michael Murphy (Applicant's Representative)	(4)
Date of Interview: 5 June 2001, and 26 July 2001.	
Type: a) X Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal copy given to: 1) applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) If Yes, brief description:	No.
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 14, and 29.	
Identification of prior art discussed: The Ingalls and Carlson re	eference
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)	X was not reached. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general natureached, or any other comments: Discussion was had on how the Examiner clarified the position presented in the Final Rejection. Ingalls did not meet the recited feature of a changeable direction changeable directional feature as taught by Ingalls, when combidirection of the field.	the prior art related to the claimed limitations. The Applicant argued that the combination of Carlson and attribute. The Examiner maintained that the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
<ul> <li>i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa checked).</li> </ul>	rate record of the substance of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (S action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MOSTATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. S reverse side or on attached sheet.	see MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office NTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required